


STATINTL

TRANSMITTAL SLIP			
		30 Jan 52 DATE	
TO:			
BUILDING	ROOM NO.		
<p>REMARKS: Since most of this support would be with and for DP/S installations would appreciate your comment before they go any further.</p>			
FROM:			
BUILDING	ROOM NO.	EXTENSION	
FORM NO. 36-8 SEP 1946			

STATINTL



STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		
TO:	(Date)	
BUILDING	ROOM NO.	
REMARKS:		
<p>Bob.</p> <p>This looks like to me. Suggest that it be coordinated</p> <p>JSPD</p>		
FROM:		
BUILDING	ROOM NO.	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 36-8
SEP 1946

16-65208-1 GPO

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

TRANSMITTAL SLI		
11 Feb 52 DATE		
TO:		
BUILD	South - ROOM NO. 11	
REMARKS: John - This seems to be OK. See [] note beneath this one. TSY		
FROM		
BUILDING	ROOM NO.	EXTENSION
Admin		
FORM NO. 36-8 SEP 1946		

STATINTL

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		
15 Feb. '52 (Date)		
TO:		
BUILD	ROOM NO.	
	2030	
REMARKS:		
FROM		
BUILDING	ROOM NO.	EXTENSION
South	149	738
FORM NO. 36-8 SEP 1946		
16-65268-1 GPO		

STATINTL

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	INITIALS	DATE
1	<i>Dr. Tuten</i>	<i>3/3/52</i>
2	<i>DE/MS</i>	<i>3/3/52</i>
3	<i>C/MS</i>	
4		
5		

FROM	INITIALS	DATE
1	<i>ADD</i>	<i>3/3/52</i>
2	<i>sp</i>	
3		

STATINTL

<input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/> COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARATION OF REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCH
<input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE

REMARKS: *Here is the paper I could not find which has been the source. As far as I can see it changes nothing from an previous agreement*

STATINTL

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

65771 ✓

TO		INITIALS	DATE
1	EXO	✓	25 Feb.
2	ADD/P (Admin.)	⓪	28
3	<i>White</i>		<i>White</i>
4	<i>Chief And Staff</i>		
5			
FROM		INITIALS	DATE
1	CAL	EDL	
2			
3			

<input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/> COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARATION OF REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCH
<input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE

REMARKS: Purpose and content of the proposed Defense directive appear completely satisfactory, assuming that [] will lay on further detailed arrangements by which the appropriate word will get from the last distribution point of this directive to the guy who actually does the work. I suggest that coordination with JSPD is unnecessary since, under current Defense instructions and correspondence with us, detailed arrangements for this kind of support are made

directly between this agency and the Service departments.
Approved For Release 2002/05/01 : CIA-RDP79-00434A000300040038-3



FEB 19 3 33 PM '52

CAL

RECEIVED

ADPC

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
 OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO		INITIALS	DATE
1	Chief Medical Staff		
2			
3			
4			
5			

FROM		INITIALS	DATE
1	ADDA		19 Jan 57
2			
3			

STATINTL

☐ APPROVAL ☒ INFORMATION ☐ SIGNATURE
☒ ACTION ☐ DIRECT REPLY ☐ RETURN
☐ COMMENT ☐ PREPARATION OF REPLY ☐ DISPATCH
☐ CONCURRENCE ☐ RECOMMENDATION ☐ FILE

REMARKS:

This looks OK. However I would appreciate it if you would coordinate this with JCS in order to determine what Agency policy should be. Cdt might be necessary to consult

Operating Officer in order
to ensure that our limited

Approved For Release 2002/05/01 : CIA-RDP79-00434A000300040038-3

distribution will be
sufficient to meet
their needs. In any
case we will act
promptly on your recommendation
after you have coordinated
it.



STATINTL